

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,327	11/13/2001	Oren Rosenfeld	U 013718-2	1497	
7590 05:04:/2005			EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			KALAFUT, STEPHEN I		
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1745	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ 4		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	—— <i>Ņ</i> ~		
Office Action Summary		10/014,32	7	ROSENFELD ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen J.	. Kalafut	1745			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Extra after - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be t story minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi ication to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation,		
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2005	<u>5</u> .				
2a)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-224</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-38,64-219 and 22</u> Claim(s) <u>39-47,52-63 and 220</u> is/are allowed Claim(s) <u>48-51</u> is/are rejected.	<u>21-224</u> is/are		sideration.			
Applica	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be objec	dare: a)□ ac ne drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	ı		
Attachme	· · ·			(DTO 446)			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🛭 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date (<u>2 dates)</u> .	8)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/014,327

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-38, 64-219 and 221-224 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 14 January 2005.

Applicant's election listed claim 200 among the group of elected claims, but the restriction requirement listed claim 220 as part of group II, along with claims 39-63.

Claims 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There are no antecedents for "said base" in claim 48 or "said acid" in claim 50. Claims 49 and 51 depend from either claim 48 or 50, and would thus likewise be indefinite.

Claims 39-47, 52-63 and 220 are allowed. The prior art cited either below or by applicant does not disclose a fuel cell with a hydrogen generator, in which the catalyst availability is controllable.

Claims 48-51 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. These claims depend on allowable claim 39.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hashigaya *et al.* (US 2001/0014300) disclose a reformer in which the flows of fuel gas, oxidant, methanol vapor and steam are all controlled. Haga *et al.* (JP 2001-348,203)

Application/Control Number: 10/014,327

Art Unit: 1745

disclose a reformer in which the amounts of catalyst are varied along the length of the reactor.

Aoyama (US 6,290,913) discloses a fuel cell system with a selective oxidizer, where the amount of catalyst is regulated according to the flow of reformed gas. Rosenfeld *et al.* (US 6,770,186) disclose a hydrogen-fueled motor vehicle in which the hydrogen is produced onboard.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In figure 1, the numeral 166 is used to denote two different items. In figure 5, the numeral 232 is used to denote two different items. The numeral 400 in figure 11 is not found in the specification. In figure 17A, and on page 28, the numeral 596 is used to denote both a fuel cell and an electrical contact. In figure 18A, and on pages 30 and 31, the numeral 636 is used to denote both an electrode on the hydrogen generator, and an LED. In figure 20A and page 33, the numeral 830 is used to denote both a methanol container and an electrical contact. In figure 20B and on page 34, the numeral 930 is used to denote both a methanol container and an electrical contact. On page 34, line 21, the paragraph ends with a double period. Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,327

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk

STEPHEN KALAFUT
PRIMARY EXAMINER